

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addease COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.webjo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/713,830	11/14/2003	Junichi Ogikubo	450100-04816	2574		
William S. Fro	7590 07/19/201 mmer. Esq.	EXAM	EXAMINER			
FROMMER LAWRENCE & HAUG LLP			SCHNUR	SCHNURR, JOHN R		
745 Fifth Aver New York, NY		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
,			2421			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
			07/19/2010	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/713,830	OGIKUBO, JUNICHI	
Examiner	Art Unit	
JOHN SCHNURR	2421	

	JOHN SCHNURR	2421					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 12 July 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
. Me The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time							
periods: a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailin	g date of the final rejection.						
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire	The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Mole: (1 box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b), ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO						
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07	(n).						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The data have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of ev- under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checket. Any reply received by the Office are yet of the data of	tension and the corresponding amount of shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as				
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extention Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with the notice of Appeal has been filed.</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the					
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>							
<ol> <li>∑ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because         <ul> <li>(a) ∑ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);</li> <li>(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);</li> </ul> </li> </ol>							
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or		ducing or simplifying t	ne issues for				
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		ected claims.					
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.							
<ol> <li>The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1</li> <li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)</li> </ol>		mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).				
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a		imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the				
non-allowable claim(s).	iovable ii dabilililed iii a deparate, t	intery med difference	it canceling the				
<ol> <li>For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro</li> </ol>		be entered and an e	xplanation of				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,4-10,13-20,23-25,28-31,34-37,40-44</u> Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	.47-49.52-55.58-62.64.65.68-72.74	and 75.					
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	d sufficient reasons why the affidavi	t or other evidence is	necessary and				
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar</li> </ol>	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a				
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.				
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:				
12.  Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement(s)</i> .  13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)						
/John W. Miller/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2421							

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The independent claims have been amended to include the limitation "permitting said user to reproduce the predetermined content at a fast speed within said predetermined range."